UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,056	05/23/2000	David Gordon Ballinger	30019.100USU1	3531

8791   7590   03/29/2005

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER
PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2634	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/576,056	BALLINGER, DAVID GORDON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *February 18th, 2005.*

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL.**   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle,* 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *45-69* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☒ Claim(s) *45-53* is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *54-62 and 64-68* is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) *63 and 69* is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *April 16th, 2004* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed

   on February 18th, 2005.

2. Claims 1-44 have been canceled.

3. Claims 45-to-69 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

   obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

   > (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
   > forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
   > the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
   > invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
   > Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 55, 56, 62 & 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

   unpatentable over Vancraeynest (5,093,841) in view of Cui et al. (6,111,910).

   Regarding to Claims 55, 56, 62 & 68, Vancraeynest discloses a circuit and

   method for synchronizing the local receiver clock to an arriving chip stream in a

   spread spectrum communication system (Column 2, lines 45-60 & Column 5, lines

   20-24). Vancraeynest further discloses correlating the incoming digital chip stream

   with a reference sequence, at each chip time period (Column 5, lines 33-46). The

   indication that a particular local clock phase is valid is determined by correlating over

   multiple bit periods, more specifically Vancraeynest uses "2M" bit periods where

   "M>1" (Column 6, lines 7-44 & Column 3, lines 16-36 & Column 2, lines 62-68)

   where "M" is defined as all the possible phases of the local synchronizing clock

   (Column 4, lines 4-7 & Column 3, lines 20-23 & Claim 7). However Vancraeynest

does not specify maintaining and using the history of previous correlations in synchronizing the bit clock.

Cui discloses an apparatus for demodulating a received signal in a cellular communication system. Cui discloses in his invention using a demodulator that can use history correlation data to extract the modulating symbols from the received signals (Abstract, lines 1-14 & Column 3, lines 29-31). Cui also discloses a method of estimating the information signal by correlating the received signal with all the possible reference signals, and selecting as an estimate the reference signal whose correlation with the received signal most closely resembles a weighted average of the correlations between previously estimated information signals and their corresponding received signals (Column 3, lines 39-57 & Column 6, lines 43-58 & Column 12, Claim 7 & Fig. 4). Cui further discloses using a clock to sample the reference signal, which can be modified such that the phases at which the samples of the reference signal are generated match the phase at which the received signal is sampled (Column 12, lines 7-15 & Fig. 8). Cui further discloses a digital signal processor (DSP) for implementing the correlation (Claim 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that by maintaining a history of the correlations and further using the history of the correlations as described by Cui to synchronize the local clock in the apparatus and method as described by Vancraeynest a more accurate estimate of the reference sequence is obtained and further makes the receiver immune to instantaneous noise spikes further providing a more accurate synchronization between the transmitter

and the receiver. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention Cui also teaches demodulating and performing correlation in the digital domain within the digital signal processor which comprises multiple types of memories storing instructions for executing recovering a bit stream from a received signal, thus satisfying the limitations of the claims.

6. Claims 57-59, 54 & 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vancraeynest (5,093,841) in view of Cui et al. (6,111,910) in further view of Bustamante et al. (5,375,140).

Regarding to Claims 57-59 & 64-66, Vancraeynest in view of Cui discloses a method and apparatus for synchronizing the local receiver clock to an arriving chip stream in a spread spectrum communication system; correlating the incoming digital chip stream with a reference sequence, at each chip time period and further synchronizing a bit clock by using the history of the correlations as described above. Vancraeynest also discloses a threshold for comparing the correlator output to the threshold and generating a threshold correlator output (Column 1, lines 42-57). Vancraeynest further discloses that an indication of valid or invalid for each phase is obtained based on the relative timing of correlation threshold crossings obtained for each phase (Column 3, lines 57-61 & Fig. 1b), furthermore when the number of matches exceeds a predetermined upper correlation threshold a data detection decision is made indicating a synchronization (Column 1, lines 45-48 & Column 3, lines 64-68 & Column 4, lines 1-25 & Fig. 1b). However, these references do not

specify histogramming the correlator output over all possible sample positions or over a finite window of the sample positions for the bit clock.

Bustamante discloses a wireless (spread spectrum) telephone system for a combination of a base station unit a multiple handsets (Column 2, lines 13-16) in a short-range mobile environment where significant multipath fading exists (Abstract, lines 1-4). Bustamante discloses using a histogram to observe correlator output of the power profile to determine the peak power measure and verify that it meets a certain threshold (Column 15, lines 20-63). Bustamante further discloses that the histogram is done over a finite window of symbols and is reset every frame (Column 15, lines 55-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that histogramming the correlator output as described by Bustamante to the correlator output as described in the system described by Vancraeynest in view of Cui would provide the ability to observe the correlator results and make a decision in regards to the phase of the receiver local clock based on the comparison of the weighted correlator average with the threshold value. There is no criticality in histogramming over all possible samples or over a finite window of samples, since all possible samples can be considered a defined window of finite samples, and this is a matter of design choice depending on the computing power or storage capacity in the receiver. Furthermore, histogramming the correlator over a bit period or multiple bit period is a design choice, since Vancraeynest computes the correlation over more than two bit periods depending on all the possible phases of the local synchronizing clock.

Regarding to Claim 54, Vancraeynest in view of Cui discloses a method and

apparatus for synchronizing the local receiver clock to an arriving chip stream in a

spread spectrum communication system; correlating the incoming digital chip stream

with a reference sequence, at each chip time period and further synchronizing a bit

clock by using the history of the correlations as described above. Vancraeynest

further discloses the clock recovery circuit comprising a correlator (Fig. 3, element

103) for correlating a reference PN-sequence with the received chip stream (Column

6, lines 45-63), a phase controller (Fig. 3, elements 112, 114, 116, 130 & 160)

coupled to the correlator, being configured and arranged to the correlator output,

and a bit clock generator (Fig. 3, element 110) coupled to the phase controller, for

generating a bit clock and output of the correlator to select/adjust the phase of the bit

clock generator (Column 7, lines 1-68 & Column 8, lines 1-68). Vancraeynest further

discloses a plurality of counters (Fig. 3, elements 140 & 150) at the correlator output

used to determine weather the current phase of the bit clock is valid or invalid

(Column 7, lines 45-47), furthermore the counters are asserted and incremented

when a threshold correlator generates a spike (Column 7, lines 24-68 & Column 8,

lines 1-68). Vancraeynest further discloses that an indication of valid or invalid for

each phase is obtained based on the relative timing of correlation threshold

crossings obtained for each phase (Column 3, lines 57-61 & Fig. 1b), furthermore

when the number of matches exceeds a predetermined upper correlation threshold a

data detection decision is made indicating a synchronization (Column 1, lines 45-48

& Column 3, lines 64-68 & Column 4, lines 1-25 & Fig. 1b). Cui also discloses a

method of estimating the information signal by correlating the received signal with all

the possible reference signals, and selecting as an estimate the reference signal

whose correlation with the received signal most closely resembles a weighted

average of the correlations between previously estimated information signals and

their corresponding received signals (Column 3, lines 39-57 & Column 6, lines 43-58

& Column 12, Claim 7 & Fig. 4). However, these references do not specify

histogramming the correlator output to adjust the phase of the bit clock, over all

possible sample positions or over a finite window of the sample positions for the bit

clock.

Bustamante discloses a wireless (spread spectrum) telephone system for a

combination of a base station unit a multiple handsets (Column 2, lines 13-16) in a

short-range mobile environment where significant multipath fading exists (Abstract,

lines 1-4). Bustamante discloses using a histogram to observe correlator output of

the power profile to determine the peak power measure and verify that it meets a

certain threshold (Column 15, lines 20-63). Bustamante further discloses that the

histogram is done over a finite window of symbols and is reset every frame (Column

15, lines 55-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention that histogramming the correlator output as described

by Bustamante to the correlator output as described in the system described by

Vancraeynest in view of Cui would provide the ability to observe the correlator

results and make a decision in regards to the phase of the receiver local clock based

on the comparison of the weighted correlator average with the threshold value.

Furthermore, histogramming and using previous correlator values provides the

system to ignore the instantaneous noise spikes thus preventing the incorrect

estimation of the phase of the incoming received samples. There is no criticality in

histogramming over all possible samples or over a finite window of samples, since

all possible samples can be considered a defined window of finite samples, and this

is a matter of design choice depending on the computing power or storage capacity

in the receiver.

7. Claims 60-61 & 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Vancraeynest (5,093,841) in view of Cui et al. (6,111,910) in further view of

Bustamante et al. (5,375,140) in further view of Sawahashi et al. (5,768,306).

Regarding to Claims 60-61 & 67, Vancraeynest in view of Cui in further view of

Bustamante discloses a method and apparatus for synchronizing the local receiver

clock to an arriving chip stream in a spread spectrum communication system;

correlating the incoming digital chip stream with a reference sequence, at each chip

time period and further synchronizing a bit clock by using the history of the

correlations, histogrammed continuously, as described above. Vancraeynest also

discloses a threshold for comparing the correlator output to the threshold and

generating a threshold correlator output (Column 1, lines 42-57). Vancraeynest

further discloses that an indication of valid or invalid for each phase is obtained

based on the relative timing of correlation threshold crossings obtained for each

phase (Column 3, lines 57-61 & Fig. 1b), furthermore when the number of matches

exceeds a predetermined upper correlation threshold a data detection decision is

made indicating a synchronization (Column 1, lines 45-48 & Column 3, lines 64-68 & Column 4, lines 1-25 & Fig. 1b). However, these references do not disclose low pass filtering or using an accumulator to the correlator output.

Sawahashi discloses a correlator apparatus for establishing initial synchronization between the received signal and the receiver (Abstract, lines 1-20). Sawahashi also discloses the output of the correlator is directly input into a plurality of accumulators (Fig. 4, element 46 & Fig. 6, element(s) 57). Sawahashi further discloses low pass filtering the correlator output (Fig. 2, element(s) 26 & 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the low pass filter and the accumulator as described in Sawahashi can be implemented in the system described by Vancraeynest in view of Cui in further view of Bustamante so as to satisfy the limitations of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 63 & 69 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 45-53 allowed over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.

- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)-272-3056

- The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEI
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600